



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,594	11/26/2003	Yuan-Ping Pang	07039-161002	7578

26191 7590 09/08/2009
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
PO BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

NEGIN, RUSSELL SCOTT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1631

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/08/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,594	Applicant(s) PANG, YUAN-PING	
	Examiner RUSSELL S. NEGIN	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell Negin and Marjorie Moran (USPTO). (3) Teresa Lavoie (attorney).

(2) Yuan-Ping Pang (applicant). (4) Michael Larson (representative).

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 37-72.

Identification of prior art discussed: Clemmer et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments for overcoming the outstanding 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 103 rejections were viewed favorably, but without specific agreement. Support for media on which the program resides was also discussed. Specifically, using closed language to encompass a plurality of metal atoms that represent the monoatomic metal ion was viewed favorably.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Russell S. Negin/ 31 August 2009
--	-----------------------------------